IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S.SIRI JAGAN

MONDAY, THE 2ND APRIL 2007 / 12TH CHAITHRA 1929

WP(C).No. 11401 of 2007(G)

PETITIONER:

P.D.PAUL, AGED 45, S/O.DEVASSYKUTTY,
PARAYIL HOUSE, KIZHAKECHALAKUDY VILLAGE,
MUKUNDAPURAM TALUK, THRISSUR DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.G.SREEKUMAR (CHELUR)

RESPONDENTS:

1. THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR, THRISSUR.

2. THE DISTRICT SUPPLY OFFICER, THRISSUR.

3. THE TALUK SUPPLY OFFICER,
MUKUNDAPURAM TALUK OFFICE, IRINJALAKUDA.

4. THE STATE OF KERALA, REP. BY THE
SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT, CIVIL SUPPLIES
DEPARTMENT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

5. T.K.ANNAM, THAKOLKARAN HOUSE,
KUTTIKAD P.O., THRISSUR DISTRICT.

G.P. SHRI.PRAMOD.

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 02/04/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

S.SIRI JAGAN, J.

==========

W.P.(C).No.11401 of 1007

==========

Dated this the 2nd day of April, 2007

J U D G M E N T

The petitioner is an aspirant for an authorised retail distributorship of rationed articles, for which he has made an application pursuant to the notification issued under the Kerala Rationing Order. The petitioner's contention in this writ petition is that although the 5th respondent is not legally qualified to apply, her application is being considered. The petitioner, therefore, seeks a direction to consider the objections of the petitioner against the eligibility of the 5th respondent to apply for distributorship. The petitioner's contention is that the 5th respondent has given wrong information regarding the relatives of the 5th respondent having another dealership. I am of opinion that it is upto the petitioner to raise all his objections while the applications

received pursuant the the notification are being considered by the appropriate authority. Without prejudice to such contentions of the petitioner, this writ petition is dismissed.

Sd/-

sdk+ S.SIRI JAGAN, JUDGE

///True copy///

P.A. to Judge.